

Original Research

Research on the Impact of Digital Economy on Environmental Pollution Management – A Quasi-Natural Experiment from the “Broadband China” Pilot Policy

Liang Qu¹, Yueting Shao^{1*}, Pengzhen Liu²

¹School of Business Administration, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310018, China

²School of Economics, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

Received: 23 October 2023

Accepted: 16 December 2023

Abstract

Based on panel data from 286 cities at the prefecture level and above in China spanning from 2010 to 2020 and utilizing the digital economy development objectives advanced by the “Broadband China” strategy in 2014 as a natural experiment, we employed the double-difference method to examine the impact of digital economy development on urban environmental pollution management and its underlying mechanisms. The study reveals that the “Broadband China” pilot policy significantly influences the level of environmental pollution control when compared to non-demonstration cities. This conclusion still holds after a series of robustness tests. The mechanism analysis demonstrates that the “Broadband China” pilot policy affects the level of environmental pollution control by enhancing regional innovation capacity and upgrading the industrial structure. Moreover, heterogeneity analysis indicates that the influence of the “Broadband China” pilot policy on environmental pollution control varies depending on location choice, city size, and degree of urbanization. Notably, the impact of constructing demonstration cities is particularly pronounced in the eastern region, larger cities, and areas with higher urbanization rates. This study holds significant implications for enhancing the urban ecological environment and achieving high-quality development.

Keywords: broadband China, digital economy, environmental pollution management level, DID model

Introduction

Benefiting from the dividends of reform and opening up, China’s economy has experienced rapid growth.

However, this swift progress has also given rise to environmental issues. In the 2022 World Environmental Performance Ranking, China holds the 160th position out of 180 countries and regions, signaling a grim state of environmental pollution in the country. Frequent environmental pollution incidents have not only resulted in substantial property losses but have also

*e-mail: shaoyueting@126.com

profoundly affected residents' overall well-being [1]. According to a report on the state of the environment and the attainment of environmental protection goals for 2022, nearly one-third of the nation's cities suffer from substandard air quality, leading to increased healthcare expenses and reduced work productivity. Furthermore, as per a study by the World Health Organization, approximately 2 million people in China die each year due to air pollution. To effectively mitigate the environmental pollution problem and promote a green economy and sustainable development, the 2022 report of the 20th Party Congress highlighted the need to vigorously advance environmental pollution prevention and treatment. This approach is recognized as a vital component of Chinese-style modernization, with a specific focus on environmental pollution control.

In light of the current challenges posed by inadequate kinetic energy for domestic economic operations and the conversion of both old and new kinetic energy sources, driven by environmental pollution, China has consistently championed the advancement of the digital economy. Notably, the National Development and Reform Commission implemented the "Broadband China" pilot policy in three phases across 120 cities (clusters) in 2014, 2015, and 2016. The objective is to stimulate explosive growth in the digital economy by enhancing the scale of broadband subscribers, the speed of network coverage, and other levels of infrastructure construction. Presently, China's digital economy holds the second position globally [2]. Emerging technologies, such as the Internet and big data, have become deeply integrated with traditional industries. The value-added ratios of high-tech manufacturing and equipment manufacturing stand at 15.1% and 32.4%, respectively. These figures have increased by 5.7 and 4.2 percentage points compared to 2012, indicating that "Made in China" is gradually transitioning to "Made in China". Given this context, several questions warrant exploration: Can the "Broadband China" pilot policy enhance the level of environmental pollution control? If this impact is confirmed, by what mechanism does the "Broadband China" pilot policy bring about its influence on environmental pollution management? Do these effects vary across different location choices, city sizes, and levels of urbanization? Providing answers to these questions holds significant theoretical and practical importance in promoting the "Broadband China" pilot policy and enhancing environmental pollution management in China.

The "Broadband China" pilot policy aims to enhance network facilities in pilot cities to effectively promote the development of the digital economy. The digital economy encompasses a range of economic activities in which digitized knowledge and information serve as key production factors, with modern information networks playing a pivotal role. The utilization of ICTs to improve efficiency is also a central aspect of the digital

economy, an area regarded as a strategic cornerstone of the new economic paradigm [3]. While the role and accomplishments of the digital economy in China's economic and social development have steadily grown, environmental pollution management has also emerged as a priority in guiding China's high-quality economic development. Surprisingly, no study has empirically assessed the impact of the digital economy on the level of environmental pollution management thus far. Various scholars have investigated the influencing factors of environmental pollution from different perspectives. Notably, financial agglomeration [4], FDI [5], environmental regulation [6], and urbanization [7] emerge as significant factors influencing environmental pollution. On another front, scholars have explored the implications of the digital economy, with the majority of studies analyzing the economic welfare derived from its development. These analyses often focus on enhancing economic efficiency [8], alleviating market pressure [9], and promoting industrial upgrading [10]. Fewer studies have delved into the realm of environmental pollution control, which falls under the domain of social welfare. With this in mind, this study empirically evaluates the impact of the digital economy on the level of urban environmental pollution management and its underlying mechanisms. This assessment is based on panel data from 286 cities at the prefectural level and above in China, spanning from 2010 to 2020. We utilize the implementation of the "Broadband China" pilot policy as a quasi-natural experiment and employ the double-difference method to uncover these mechanisms.

The possible contributions of this study are mainly reflected in the following three aspects; First, it enriches and broadens research on the factors influencing the control of environmental pollution. The ascent of the digital economy not only positively impacts economic development but, more crucially, offers a novel opportunity to mitigate environmental pollution. By leveraging digital technology and innovative approaches, the environmental situation can be effectively ameliorated, presenting fresh ideas to achieve the goal of sustainable development [9]. Second, this study delves into the mechanics of the Broadband China pilot policy by theoretically and empirically exploring the relationship between the policy and the management of environmental pollution. Through heterogeneity analysis, it aims to dissect the internal logical relationship between the two, offering insights to better comprehend the impact of the digital economy on environmental governance. Third, this study introduces innovation level and industrial structure upgrading as mediating variables and validates the influence mechanism of the digital economy on the management of environmental pollution using the mediating effect model. By delving deeper into the intrinsic workings of the digital economy, it further illuminates its pathway to realizing environmental governance by fostering innovation and

in year t , and 0 if it is not. X_{it} is a set of control variables. v_i is a city-fixed effect, μ_t is a year-fixed effect, and ε_{it} is a random error term. α_1 is the core coefficient of interest in this study, which measures the average difference in the level of environmental pollution control before and after the Broadband China pilot policy shock.

Variable Settings and Data Sources

Data Sources

Based on the completeness and availability of data, this study ultimately examines 286 prefecture-level and higher cities spanning the period from 2010 to 2020. The data sources include the China Urban Statistical Yearbook, provincial statistical yearbooks, city statistical bulletins, and the EPS database.

Variable Settings

1. **Dependent Variable: Environmental Pollution Governance Level (Gov).** The index of environmental pollution governance level is calculated by the entropy value method, and an increase in this index indicates that the city has a higher level of environmental pollution governance. In this study, the level of local environmental pollution governance is divided into two subsystems, namely, ecological environmental pollution and ecological environmental governance. The specific indexes include (1) Ecological Environment Pollution: This category includes three indicators - industrial wastewater emissions, industrial sulfur dioxide emissions, and industrial soot emissions - chosen to assess pollutant emissions. (2) Ecological Environment Governance: This category encompasses four indicators - greening coverage rate of built-up areas, comprehensive utilization rate of industrial solid waste, domestic sewage treatment rate, and harmless treatment rate of domestic garbage - selected to reflect the positive societal response to ecological degradation and environmental pollution.

2. **Core Explanatory Variable.** The primary explanatory variable is a dummy variable representing the status of being a "Broadband China" pilot city. This variable takes the value of 1 if a city was designated as a "Broadband China" pilot city in a given year and beyond, and 0 if it was not selected. As of 2019, there have been three rounds of "Broadband China" demonstration cities. The list of these demonstration cities is sourced from China's Ministry of Industry and Information Technology's official website. It is important to note that in the sample used for this study, certain demonstration cities have been excluded due to either missing data or administrative-level inconsistencies. For instance, cities like Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Alar City, Linzhi City, Yongcheng City, etc., have been omitted. Consequently, the final dataset consists of 108 demonstration city samples and 178 non-demonstration city samples.

3. **Mediating variables.** (1) **Innovation Ability (Innov).** In this study, the urban innovation level primarily refers to the city's technological innovation prowess. Two main types of indicators are used to gauge the level of technological innovation: technological innovation input and technological innovation output. However, the process from innovation input to innovation output is intricate, with a high risk and failure rate, often resulting in a low innovation input-output ratio or even a non-positive output relationship. Consequently, measuring innovation input may overestimate the urban innovation level. Conversely, innovation output can provide a more direct and objective measurement of the urban innovation level. Patents, serving as the ultimate manifestation of knowledge production and innovation, are the most widely accepted indicator for evaluating urban innovation output [27]. Therefore, in this study, we employ the number of granted patent applications as a metric to assess the city's innovation level. (2) **Industrial Structure Upgrading (Isu).** Industrial structure upgrading signifies changes in industry and improvements in efficiency. To precisely reflect the research objectives of this study and the essence of industrial structure upgrading, we draw from the work of [11] and construct an industrial structure upgrading index to measure the degree of industrial structure upgrading. The specific formula for measuring this index is as follows:

$$Isu = \sum_{i=1}^3 I_i \times i = I_1 + I_2 \times 2 + I_3 \times 3 \quad (5)$$

Where I_i indicates the ratio of the output value of industry i to the total output value. Generally speaking, this index mainly reflects the upgrading relationship among the three types of industries, and the larger the value of Isu , the higher the level of industrial structure development, which also means that the industrial structure of the region is more advanced.

4. **Control Variables.** In line with existing studies [28, 29], this study also controls for other variables that influence the level of urban environmental pollution control. (1) The level of economic development (Rgdp), expressed using the per capita gross economic product of each prefecture, was logarithmized. (2) Level of openness to the outside world (Open), which is expressed as the ratio of foreign direct investment to GDP. (3) Educational attainment (Edu), which is measured by the ratio of undergraduate students per 10,000 people in the city. (4) Fiscal decentralization (Fin), which is measured by the ratio of budgeted revenues to budgeted expenditures. (5) Infrastructure (Infra), the development of infrastructure can reduce transportation time and costs, consequently affecting the emission of environmental pollutants. It is represented by the per capita urban road area. (6) Population density (Popu), using the number of permanent residents per unit area to express the indicator.

Table 4. Analysis of locational heterogeneity.

Variable	Gov		
	East	Center	West
Did	0.228*** (7.68)	0.110** (2.22)	0.085* (1.68)
Control variables	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year-fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes
City-fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes
Constant	4.072*** (11.50)	4.091*** (12.00)	5.104*** (24.58)
N	1100	1089	957
R2	0.227	0.167	0.382

Notes: *: $p < 0.1$, **: $p < 0.05$, ***: $p < 0.01$

City Size Heterogeneity

The agglomeration effect resulting from the expansion of the city scale can enhance economic efficiency, but it also gives rise to urban issues and environmental pollution challenges. The resource disparities stemming from variations in city scale may impact the implementation of the “Broadband China” pilot policy. To examine the differentiated effects of the policy on environmental pollution management due to variations in city scale, this study utilizes group regression based on city categories derived from the “2022 City Business Attractiveness Ranking”. In this study, first-tier cities, new first-tier cities, and second-tier cities are combined into one category, labeled as the first group of cities. Third-tier cities constitute the second category, and fourth- and fifth-tier cities are combined into the third category. The regression results, presented in Table 5, indicate that the coefficients for the “Broadband China” pilot policy are statistically significant and positive in the first and second groups

Table 5. Heterogeneity in city size.

Variable	Gov		
	The first group of cities	The second group of cities	The third group of cities
Did	0.304*** (3.85)	0.211*** (3.17)	0.098 (1.05)
Control variables	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year-fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes
City-fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes
Constant	7.090*** (19.69)	5.100*** (7.96)	5.097*** (40.27)
N	539	770	1837
R2	0.262	0.187	0.323

Notes: *: $p < 0.1$, **: $p < 0.05$, ***: $p < 0.01$

Table 6. Heterogeneity of urbanization levels.

Variable	Gov	
	High	Low
Did	0.117*** (4.54)	0.021** (2.31)
Control variables	Yes	Yes
Year-fixed effects	Yes	Yes
City-fixed effects	Yes	Yes
Constant	3.590*** (17.35)	1.097*** (31.53)
N	1598	1548
R2	0.348	0.296

Notes: *: $p < 0.1$, **: $p < 0.05$, ***: $p < 0.01$

of cities. However, they are not significant in the third group of cities. This suggests that the impact of the digital economy on environmental pollution management is more pronounced in the first and second groups of cities but less evident in the third group. One plausible explanation is that larger cities exhibit a higher degree of industrial specialization and possess a richer pool of innovation factors, thereby enhancing their ability to stimulate innovation and improve local environmental pollution governance capacity.

Heterogeneity in Urbanization Levels

Urbanization primarily manifests through the concentration of secondary and tertiary industries in cities, coupled with the continuous influx of rural populations into urban areas. This urbanization process may influence the implementation of the “Broadband China” pilot policy. To investigate whether differences in the level of urbanization result in heterogeneous impacts of the digital economy on environmental pollution management, the sample is divided into two groups based on the median urbanization rate of the population.

The cities are categorized as either high or low in terms of urbanization level, and group regression is conducted, with the results displayed in Table 6. The regression outcomes reveal that the pilot policy has a significant and positive impact on both types of cities, but its effect is more pronounced in cities with higher urbanization levels. This suggests that a higher level of urbanization provides residents with greater access to network infrastructure and associated benefits, which can create more favorable conditions for the implementation of the “Broadband China” pilot policy. Hence, the impact of the digital economy on environmental pollution management exhibits a certain degree of heterogeneity depending on the level of urbanization.

Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

Building a robust digital economy and addressing environmental pollution are strategic imperatives for China’s high-quality economic development in the new era. This study employs a multi-period DID model based on panel data from 286 prefecture-level and higher cities in China from 2010 to 2020, focusing on the “Broadband China” pilot policy to assess the digital economy’s impact on environmental pollution control. The study further investigates heterogeneous impacts and mechanisms. The study reveals that the Broadband China pilot policy had a substantial and positive impact on the governance of environmental pollution in the examined pilot cities, a conclusion supported by a series of rigorous tests for robustness. Mechanism tests indicate that the pilot policy’s positive influence on the level of environmental pollution governance primarily operates through the levels of innovation and industrial structure upgrading. Both of these mediators play a partially mediating role in this process. Heterogeneity analysis demonstrates that variations in the effects of the Broadband China pilot policy on the level of environmental pollution governance are associated with geographic location, city size, and the level of urbanization. Specifically, in the eastern region, where cities are larger and urbanization rates are higher, the upgrading effect of the Broadband China pilot policy on the level of environmental pollution governance is more pronounced. Conversely, in the central and western regions, characterized by smaller city sizes and lower rates of urbanization, the impact of the Broadband China pilot policy on environmental pollution control is either smaller or statistically insignificant.

Based on the aforementioned findings, the policy implications derived from this study are as follows: First, in light of the ongoing technological and industrial revolution, it is recommended that the government increase its investment in the Internet sector, with a particular emphasis on 5G and big data business applications. Key investment directions include digital infrastructure construction and the expansion of digital application scenarios. This encompasses the network

infrastructure, cybersecurity enhancements, and the development of Internet of Things (IoT) technology. Additionally, the government should prioritize the innovation of digital technology across various fields, such as education and healthcare, to enhance efficiency and productivity. The application of digital technology in urban management and environmental protection should be promoted to foster sustainable development. Second, the government should leverage the “technological effect” and “structural effect” of environmental governance. This involves placing a strong emphasis on pollution prevention at the source and moving away from the traditional concept of “pollute first, treat later.” The development of the digital economy can serve as an engine for promoting industrial upgrading and innovation. Through technological innovation, resources can be guided towards high-value-added, low-energy consumption, and high-efficiency enterprises, thereby facilitating the green transformation of businesses. Similarly, the optimization and upgrading of industrial structures are crucial steps. The development of environmentally friendly and sustainable industries can help reduce reliance on high-pollution and high-energy-consumption sectors, ultimately lowering the environmental burden. Third, to enhance the effectiveness of coordinated regional pollution management, the government should establish a robust mechanism for coordinated regional pollution prevention and control. This includes implementing a cross-regional joint prevention and control mechanism for environmental governance, breaking away from the traditional territorial management model. Regional governments should coordinate the development of consistent environmental regulations and policies to ensure the effective addressing of cross-regional environmental problems with clearly defined legal responsibilities and punishment mechanisms. Simultaneously, public participation in environmental governance decision-making should be encouraged to enhance a sense of social co-governance.

This study acknowledges certain limitations that could be addressed in future research. First, there is a need to broaden the scope of empirical sample data. The current focus on Chinese cities provides valuable insights, but future research could enhance its depth by expanding to the micro-level and delving into specific enterprises or industries. Additionally, at the macro level, the study could consider exploring areas such as county-level cities to augment the comprehensiveness and applicability of the research results. Second, the research on intrinsic mechanisms could benefit from further enrichment. While the study has delved into the influence pathways of the research theme from the perspectives of regional innovation and industrial structure upgrading, there may be other mechanism variables that remain undiscovered. Future research might consider exploring the impact of institutional variables, such as the humanistic environment and industrial agglomeration, on the research theme.

This exploration could contribute to enriching the theoretical framework by uncovering additional mechanisms of action.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Major Project of the National Social Science Fund of China (1010KZ0122008A).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. CAO G., YANG L., LIU L., MA Z., WANG J., BI J. Environmental incidents in China: Lessons from 2006 to 2015. *Science of The Total Environment*. **633**, 1165, **2018**.
2. LYU Y., WANG W., WU Y., ZHANG J. How does digital economy affect green total factor productivity? Evidence from China. *Science of The Total Environment*. **857**, 159428, **2023**.
3. ZHANG J., LYU Y., LI Y., GENG Y. Digital economy: An innovation driving factor for low-carbon development. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. **96**, 106821, **2022**.
4. YUAN H., ZHANG T., HU K., FENG Y., FENG C., JIA P. Influences and transmission mechanisms of financial agglomeration on environmental pollution. *Journal of Environmental Management*. **303**, 114136, **2022**.
5. DENG Q.S., ALVARADO R., CUESTA L., TILLAGUANGO B., MURSHED M., REHMAN A., İŞIK C., LÓPEZ-SÁNCHEZ M. Asymmetric impacts of foreign direct investment inflows, financial development, and social globalization on environmental pollution. *Economic Analysis and Policy*. **76**, 236, **2022**.
6. NEVES S.A., MARQUES A.C., PATRÍCIO M. Determinants of CO₂ emissions in European Union countries: does environmental regulation reduce environmental pollution? *Economic Analysis and Policy*. **68**, 114, **2020**.
7. SAMPENE A.K., LI C., OTENG-AGYEMAN F., BRENYA R. Dissipating environmental pollution in the BRICS economies: do urbanization, globalization, energy innovation, and financial development matter? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. **29** (55), 82917, **2022**.
8. LI J., CHEN L., CHEN Y., HE J. Digital economy, technological innovation, and green economic efficiency – Empirical evidence from 277 cities in China. *Managerial and Decision Economics*. **43** (3), 616, **2022**.
9. SONG B., HU X., ZHANG H., GAO Y., GUO Y. Research on the Effect of Digital Economy Development on Local Financial Pressure. *Journal of Economic Statistics*. **1** (1), 77, **2023**.
10. WANG L., CHEN L. Resource dependence and air pollution in China: Do the digital economy, income inequality, and industrial upgrading matter? *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. **2022**.
11. REN X., ZENG G., GOZGOR G. How does digital finance affect industrial structure upgrading? Evidence from Chinese prefecture-level cities. *Journal of Environmental Management*. **330**, 117125, **2023**.
12. HASBI M. Impact of very high-speed broadband on company creation and entrepreneurship: Empirical Evidence. *Telecommunications Policy*. **44** (3), 101873, **2020**.
13. PAN W., XIE T., WANG Z., MA L. Digital economy: An innovation driver for total factor productivity. *Journal of Business Research*. **139**, 303, **2022**.
14. XIN L., SUN H., XIA X. Renewable energy technology innovation and inclusive low-carbon development from the perspective of spatiotemporal consistency. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. **30** (8), 20490, **2023**.
15. MOYER J.D., HUGHES B.B. ICTs: Do they contribute to increased carbon emissions? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. **79** (5), 919, **2012**.
16. REN S., HAO Y., WU H. Digitalization and environment governance: does internet development reduce environmental pollution? *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*. **66**, 1, **2022**.
17. ZHOU L., TANG L. Environmental regulation and the growth of the total-factor carbon productivity of China's industries: Evidence from the implementation of action plan of air pollution prevention and control. *Journal of Environmental Management*. **296**, 113078, **2021**.
18. SU W., GUO C., SONG X. Media coverage, Environment Protection Law and environmental research and development: Evidence from the Chinese-listed firms. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. **24** (5), 6953, **2022**.
19. MA C., MAO J.-Y., AN X.-P. The Driving Forces Behind the Phenomenal Rise of the Digital Economy in China. *Management and Organization Review*. **18** (4), 803, **2022**.
20. WAN S., YANG X., CHEN X., QU Z., AN C., ZHANG B., LEE K., BI H. Emerging marine pollution from container ship accidents: Risk characteristics, response strategies, and regulation advancements. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. **376**, 134266, **2022**.
21. FANG Z., RAZZAQ A., MOHSIN M., IRFAN M. Spatial spillovers and threshold effects of internet development and entrepreneurship on green innovation efficiency in China. *Technology in Society*. **68**, 101844, **2022**.
22. YU X., WANG P. Economic effects analysis of environmental regulation policy in the process of industrial structure upgrading: Evidence from Chinese provincial panel data. *Science of The Total Environment*. **753**, 142004, **2020**.
23. LIU J., HOU X., WANG Z., SHEN Y. Study the effect of industrial structure optimization on urban land-use efficiency in China. *Land Use Policy*. **105**, 105390, **2021**.
24. ZHENG Z., ZHU Y., WANG Y., YANG Y., FANG Z. Spatio-temporal heterogeneity of the coupling between digital economy and green total factor productivity and its influencing factors in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. **30**, 1, **2023**.
25. HASANBEIGI A., PRICE L. A technical review of emerging technologies for energy and water efficiency and pollution reduction in the textile industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. **95**, 30, **2015**.
26. BECK T., LEVINE R., LEVKOV A. Big bad banks? The winners and losers from bank deregulation in the United States. *The Journal of Finance*. **65** (5), 1637, **2010**.
27. CARAGLIU A., DEL BO C.F. Smart innovative cities: The impact of Smart City policies on urban innovation.

